

**MEETING SUMMARY**  
**Alaska Mitigation Advisory Group**  
**Oil & Gas Sector Technical Working Group (OG TWG)**  
Call #1, June 4, 2008, 9:30am – 11:30am

**Attendance:**

1. Technical Working Group members:

Janet Bounds	Chevron
Russ Douglass	Doyon Drilling
Claire Fitzpatrick	BP
Louis Kozisek	State – Joint Pipeline Office
Sean Lowther	State – Chewgatch electric
Jane Williamson	State – AOGCC
(attending for John Norman)	
Jim Pfeiffer	BP
Bob Swenson	State – Sub-cabinet member
Brad Thomas	ConocoPhillips
Bob Batch	BP
David Hite	Independent consultant
Jeff Cook	Flint Hills Resources Refinery

2. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS) staff:

Alison Bailie  
Greg Powell  
Gloria Flora

3. Alaska State Agency (DEC) Liaison and Attendees:

Jackie Poston	
Alice Edwards	Acting Air Quality Director, DEC

**Background documents:**

(all posted at [http://www.akclimatechange.us/Oil\\_Gas.cfm](http://www.akclimatechange.us/Oil_Gas.cfm) )

1. Agenda
2. PowerPoint presentation for meeting
3. Draft Catalog of Mitigation Options
4. Mitigation Option Descriptions

**Discussion items and key issues:**

1. Alison Bailie started the meeting with roll call and review of agenda
2. Alison Bailie explained Administrative Order 238, duties of the Technical Working Group, the Mitigation Advisory Group, Climate Change Strategies (facilitators) and Information Insights (facilitators), plus timing and key steps for process.
3. TWG members asked questions about differences between Alaska and other states
  - a. mitigation policies will differ depending on mix of industries, geographic considerations (land-use and municipalities)
  - b. the Alaska sub-cabinet will have strong focus on adaptation
4. TWG member asked whether the underlying assumptions was emission reductions at any cost
  - a. CCS noted that economics will play important role in evaluating each option prior to recommendation
5. Gloria Flora, CCS, explained relationship between TWG and MAG
  - a. “No backsliding” – to ensure maximum value of TWG input (once the MAG makes a decision, it does not revisit the decision unless there is new significant information)
  - b. Process not intended to be burdensome. If TWG member is not able to contribute over a specific period of time, alert facilitator. Hopefully, other TWG members will assume responsibility.
  - c. TWG member emphasized importance of the process and of ‘getting it right’ despite lengthy to-do lists
6. Next steps: review inventory and forecast and catalog of state actions
7. Alison provided an overview of the inventory and forecast document
  - a. Eager for input from TWG members and for information about new policies
  - b. CCS will look into reasoning behind using DNR production rather than DOR
  - c. TWG member noted that although oil production is likely declining, gas production will remain steady

- d. O&G TWG responsible for separating emissions associated with oil and gas operations from “Industrial Fuel Use” (“Fossil Fuel Ind.” accounts only for fugitive emissions)
  - e. Estimates based on emissions factors; if better information (e.g. from actual facilities) is available, that would be very valuable
  - f. DEC estimated emissions ‘from the bottom up’ based on reported fuel use for 2002; 2005 data is now available but not incorporated yet
8. Greg Power provided an overview of the draft catalog of options that currently includes overarching policies, carbon capture and sequestration options, fuel production and processing options, and fuel delivering options
- a. TWG members asked to review catalog and definitions prior to next meeting and provide suggestions for additional options.

**Next steps and agreements:**

- TWG members to review inventory & forecast and catalog of options

**Next meeting:**

Date for next meeting will be determined by CCS polling TWG members for best times during last week of June